Seminar: 1.07.052 Nationalisms in a Comparative Perspective

Office: A6 3-302

Office Hours: Tuesday 13:00-14:00

General Description & Scope:	Nationalism studies is a particular field in the social and behavioral
	sciences that has ambitious and diverse aims. There are two broad
	academic traditions in the field: one that tries to explain nationalism as
	a social and political phenomenon, and the other academic tradition
	mostly focuses on measuring this phenomenon as an individual level
	attitude. This seminar introduces and explores important theories and
	concepts, reviews some old and contemporary literature, and looks at
	classic and new methods used in these two broad academic traditions.

Target Audience:	Junior & senior undergraduates in social sciences and related fields
Prerequisites:	None
Credits:	3 ECTS
Is this course connected with	No
another course?	
Is the other course required?	No.
Is there a follow-up course?	Yes, I offer other three other courses in the summer semesters that are
	related to this seminar; they focus broadly on nationalism,
	discrimination and prejudice in different contexts. The particular
	follow-up course for this class is titled Nationalism, Populism, Racism.
Is the course open to	Yes
exchange students?	
Is the course open to	Yes
auditors/guests?	
Is the course open to	Yes, I welcome and would love to have graduate students. But, please
graduate students?	note that the course material may or may not be gratifying for a grad-
	student depending on the field and level of prior knowledge. You can
	contact me if you have specific questions.
Time slot & Location:	Tuesday 10:00-12:00 A06 5-531

Planned Learning Activities	Lectures, group discussions, in-class exercises					
& Teaching Methods:						
General Goals:	The primary objective of the course is to provide students an overview of nationalism studies. But perhaps more importantly, this seminar is designed as a fruitful, free and academic discussion platform on nationalism. The course and assignments are designed to assist students in developing their critical reading and writing skills, therefore the course can also be helpful in developing transferable skills in the following areas:					
	assessing empirical research qualitywriting scholarly papers					
	 communication through participation in group discussions. 					
Intended Learning Outcomes:	 With adequate effort and willingness, by the end of this course, students will: be familiar with the main concepts, principles and practices used in used in nationalism studies identify and describe major debates within the study of nationalism 					

 have a broad overview of different scholarly perspectives and 	
explanations on nationalism	
 have a general idea about 4 cases of nationalism, namely 	
Turkey, Germany, England and the United States.	

Course Structure:	The course consists of lectures and discussions based on the required readings. The students' familiarity with the required reading is a necessary precondition for active participation. So, for this course to work well, all students must come to class prepared, I strongly suggest to complete all assigned readings prior to class.
Note on Attendance:	Although, according to university regulations, mandatory attendance is limited to 50% of the classes it is strongly recommended to attend all classes. And also please keep in mind that class participation is more than just attending the class meetings—you should come to class alert, be willing to ask and answer questions, and contribute intellectually to class discussions.

Course Material:	All the weekly required readings will be electronically available.
	Download the material from the following link
	https://cloudstorage.elearning.uni-oldenburg.de/s/mIENFaaZ9Od9ODK

	nttps://cioudstorage.elearning.uni-oldenburg.de/s/mienFaaz9Od9ODK
Assignments and	The evaluation type for this course is portfolio. There are 2 types of weekly
Grading:	assignments: the main-assignments (consisting of index-card questions and/or
G	reaction papers; these will be graded) and the mini-assignments (consisting of
	in-class discussion questions; these won't be graded). Below is the
	explanation of the individual assignments.
the Main-assignments:	I will upload a total number of 12 weekly assignments on the StudIP system;
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	each corresponding to one week's topic. Students should choose 6 out of
	these 12 weekly assignments. The weekly assignment formats are
	either
	an index-card question (of 250 words max. each)
	or
	a reaction paper (of 500 words max. each).
	The deadline for the portfolio submission is the 2nd of February 2018 .
	All the main assignments will be electronically available on the StudiP at the
	beginning of the semester.
	These papers are to be submitted only electronically; students are required to
	upload their papers to the StudIP system's relevant folder.
	These papers should be single-spaced, typed in 11-point Calibri (or a similar)
	font.
	Only the following file types are acceptable [.doc, .docx, .odt, .pdf].
	Before submitting please make sure you keep the file in the following format
	[NPMG_YourLastName_W02-A01.docx] — this will make my life easier.
	The response papers should be specific to each topic, and is supposed to
	assess and evaluate the readings critically for the subject matter at hand.
	I will provide you a short guideline on writing good/scholarly reaction papers
	in the beginning of the semester.
the Mini-assignment:	In-class discussion questions: Each week students should write down and
the willi-assignment.	bring at least two questions and/or critical remarks for class discussions. These
	should be relevant to the readings of the week. <i>The mini-assignments are</i>
	more challenging than they sound because asking a question is not always
	easy. Asking a question in this context entails active reading and a thoughtful
	response —often in the form of another question or follow-up probe. Good
	questions take into account the specific audience (what are the students'
	needs, interests, and abilities?), the goals of the class (what are the key

learning objectives? why should students care?), and the content and class plan (which case features are relevant, surprising, confusing, etc.? How is the

	material sequenced?). Whether it calls for analysis, encourages debate, or solicits recommendations for action, a question is most effective when it fits the needs of a specific class context and helps guide students individually and collectively towards discovery and learning. These mini-assignments are intended to shape the class discussions and are to be taken seriously. The mini-assignments should be hard-copy , and are to be submitted before the class starts, I will not accept them during or after the class. Students will not be directly graded for these mini-assignments, however there will be "+" (submitted) and "-" (not submitted) marks which will affect
Note on Academic Honesty:	the average final grade. Please note that students are required to use proper citation methods
incre en rioudenne rienessy.	(preferably APA style) in the weekly assignment when necessary.
	Therefore, make sure you are aware of the scholarly pitfalls of
	plagiarism. Specifically, whenever you use an idea or information from
	another source, you must give credit to the author by properly citing
	him/her within the text as well as by including a list of all references at
	the end of the text. Not doing so constitutes an act of plagiarism, which
	will result in a score of 5 for the assignment.

Grading:

Grades will be assigned based on the following distribution.

%	96+	95 90	90 85	85 80	80 75	75 70	70 65	65 60	60 55	55 50	50-
Grade	1.0	1.3	1.7	2.0	2.3 0	2.7 0	3.0	3.3 0	3.7 0	4.0	Fail

These borderlines may be reduced slightly depending on class performance.

Policies:	The syllabus: This document is a contract between you and me.
	You are responsible for all the policies, requirements, and information
	in this syllabus. By taking this course, you agree to comply with all
	course requirements. By giving this syllabus to you, I agree to follow
	everything in it. (However, I can make slight changes to the assigned
	readings under extenuating circumstances. All changes will be
	announced in class).

Announcements in class: Announcements in classes are official. Students are responsible for all announcements made in classes even if they are absent that day. If you happen to miss a class, ask other students or me for announcements you may have missed.

Correspondence and Email Etiquette: Please feel free to email me (or stop by in my office) when you need help with course requirements. However, follow a few basic rules in order to ensure better communication. First of all, make sure you identify yourself in your email (and also please include which class you are in), and explain the problem clearly. Do not assume I will automatically remember a previous discussion between you and me. Give me at least one business day to respond to your email. Finally, before sending me an email, read it once more and ask yourself if your question has been answered anywhere else (especially in the syllabus).

Further details will be discussed in the first meeting of class.

WEEKLY OUTLINE & LIST of READINGS

Week 1 (17.10.2017)	Introduction
Introduction to Course	e: Distribution of syllabi and explanation of course objectives and assignments. No
lecture or presentation	ns!
Week 2 (24.10.2017)	the Classics I: the Origins Debate
	 Smith, A.D.& Gellner, E. (1996). The nation: real or imagined? THE
	WARWICK DEBATES ON NATIONALISM. Nations and Nationalism 2(3):
	357-371.
	Grosby, S. & Özkırımlı U. (2007). Nationalism Theory Debate: The
	Antiquity of Nations? Nations and Nationalism 13(3): 523-537.
	http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1469-8129.2007.00297.x/pdf
	•
Week 3 (31.10.2017) P	Public Holiday – Reformation Day (500 th Anniversary)
Week 4 (07.11.2017)	the Classics II: Transformation of the State or the Society?
	 Breuilly, J. (2005). "Nationalism & the State" in Nations and nationalism: a reader. Spencer, P., & Wollman, H. (eds.) New Brunswick, N.J.: Rutgers University Press.
	 Greenfeld, L. (1992). Nationalism: five roads to modernity. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press., pp. 3-26.
Week 5 (14.11.2017)	Critics to the Early Studies
	 Billig, M. (1993). Studying nationalism as an everyday ideology. Papers on Social Representations 2: 40-43.
	http://www.psych.lse.ac.uk/psr/PSR1993/2_1993Billi.pdf
	 Yumul, A., & Özkirimli, U. (2000). Reproducing the nation: `banal nationalism' in the Turkish press. Media, Culture & Society, 22(6), 787-804.
	http://mcs.sagepub.com/content/22/6/787.full.pdf+html
	 Stehle, M. & Weber, B. M.(2013). German Soccer, the 2010 World Cup, and Multicultural Belonging. German Studies Review 36(1), 103-124.
	 Fukuoka, K. (2016). Between banality and effervescence? a study of Japanese youth nationalism. Nations and Nationalism, n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/nana.12276 (Optional)

Week 6 (21.11.2017)	Contemporary Approaches
	Özkırımlı, U. (2010). Chapter 7 "Understanding Nationalism - A critique of
	the theoretical debate on nationalism", Theories of nationalism: a critical
	introduction. (2 nd Ed.) New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
	Reeskens, T. I. M., & Hooghe, M. (2010). Beyond the civic–ethnic
	dichotomy: investigating the structure of citizenship concepts across
	thirty-three countries. Nations and Nationalism, 16(4), 579-597.
	http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1469-8129.2010.00446.x/pdf
	 Van Reekum, R. (2012). As nation, people and public collide: enacting
	Dutchness in public discourse. Nations and Nationalism, 18(4), 583-602.
	http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1469-8129.2012.00554.x/pdf
	Kaufmann, E. (2016). Complexity and nationalism. <i>Nations and</i>
	Nationalism, n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/nana.12270

Week 7 (28.11.2017)	Religion & Nationalism
	• Greenfeld, L. (1996). Nationalism, the Modem Religion?, <i>Critical Review</i> 10(2): 169-191.
	 Juergensmeyer, M. (2006). "Nationalism and Religion" in <i>The SAGE handbook of nations and nationalism</i>. Delanty, G., & Kumar (eds.), K. London; Thousand Oaks, Calif.: SAGE. Storm, I. (2013). "Christianity is not just about religion": Religious and
	National Identities in a Northern English town. <i>Secularism and Nonreligion</i> , 2, 21-38.
	http://www.secularismandnonreligion.org/article/download/snr.aj/10
	 Jacobs, C. M., & Theiss-Morse, E. (2013). Belonging In a "Christian
	Nation": The Explicit and Implicit Associations between Religion and
	National Group Membership. <i>Politics and Religion, 6</i> (02), 373-401.
	http://journals.cambridge.org/download.php?file=%2FRAP%2FRAP6_02%2FS1
	755048312000697a.pdf&code=a783145938739348589a28152cc6112a
	1
Week 8 (05.12.2017)	Inclusion & Exclusion
	 Gladney, D. (1994). Representing Nationality in China: Refiguring Majority/Minority
	Identities. Journal of Asian Studies, 53(1): 92-123.
	http://www.jstor.org/stable/2059528
	Smooha, S. (2008). The mass immigrations to Israel: A comparison of
	the failure of the Mizrahi immigrants of the 1950s with the success of
	the Russian immigrants of the 1990s. The Journal of Israeli History,
	27(1), 1-27.
	Davis, K., & Nencel, L. (2011). Border skirmishes and the question of
	belonging: An authoethnographic account of everyday exclusion in
	multicultural society. <i>Ethnicities</i> , 11(4), 467-488.
	http://etn.sagepub.com/content/11/4/467.full.pdf+html

Week 9 (12.12.2017)	Social Identity Approach
	 Reicher, S., Spears, R. & Haslam, S.A. (2010). "The Social-Identity Approach in Social Psychology" In Wetherell, M., & Mohanty, C. T. (eds.) The sage handbook of identities (1st ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
	 Otten, S., & Cohrs, J. C. (2010). Nationalism and patriotism JM Levine, MA Hogg, Encyclopedia of Group Processes and Intergroup Relations: Sage.
	 Cameron, J. E. (2004). A Three-Factor Model of Social Identity. Self and Identity, 3(3), 239-262. doi:10.1080/13576500444000047
	 Parker, C. S. (2010). Symbolic versus Blind Patriotism: Distinction without Difference? <i>Political Research Quarterly</i>, 63(1), 97-114. doi: 10.1177/1065912908327228
	http://prq.sagepub.com/content/63/1/97.full.pdf+html

Week 10 (19.12.2017)	First Case: Turkey	
	 Çinar, A. (2010). Globalism as the Product of Nationalism: Founding Ideology and the Erasure of the Local in Turkey. <i>Theory, Culture & Society, 27</i>(4), 90-118. http://tcs.sagepub.com/content/27/4/90.full.pdf+html Çağaptay, S. (2002). Reconfiguring the Turkish nation in the 1930s. <i>Nationalism and Ethnic Politics, 8</i>(2), 67-82. Grigoriadis, I. (2007). Türk or Türkiyeli? The Reform of Turkey's Minority Legislation and the Rediscovery of Ottomanism. <i>Middle Eastern Studies, 43</i>(3), 423-438. 	

Christmas break

Week 11 (09.01.2018)	Second Case: Germany
	Blank, T., & Schmidt, P. (2003). National identity in a united Germany:
	Nationalism or patriotism? An empirical test with representative data.
	Political Psychology, 24(2), 289-312.
	http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/0162-895X.00329/pdf
	Wagner, U., Becker, J. C., Christ, O., Pettigrew, T. F., & Schmidt, P.
	(2010). A Longitudinal Test of the Relation between German
	Nationalism, Patriotism, and Outgroup Derogation. <i>European</i>
	Sociological Review.
	http://esr.oxfordjournals.org/content/early/2010/12/02/esr.jcq066.full.pdf+h
	tml
	Miller-Idriss, C., & Rothenbergnn, B. (2012). Ambivalence, pride and
	shame: conceptualisations of German nationhood. Nations and
	Nationalism, 18(1), 132-155.
	http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1469-8129.2011.00498.x/pdf
	Bauder, H., & Semmelroggen, J. (2009). Immigration and Imagination
	of Nationhood in the German Parliament. Nationalism and Ethnic
	Politics, 15(1), 1-26.
	 Kopf-Beck, Johannes; Gaisbauer, Felix; Dengler, Susanne. (2013).
	Engaging with German history: Reactions of the third post-war
	generation to cinematic representations of the Holocaust. Conflict &
	Communication Online, 12 (2013), 1, 1-34.
	http://www.cco.regener-online.de/2013_1/pdf/kgd_engl.pdf

Week 12 (16.01.2018)	Third Case: the UK
	Kumar, K. (2010). Negotiating English identity: Englishness, Britishness
	and the future of the United Kingdom. Nations and Nationalism,
	<i>16</i> (3), 469-487.
	http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1469-8129.2010.00442.x/pdf
	 Asari, EM., Halikiopoulou, D., & Mock, S. (2008). British National
	Identity and the Dilemmas of Multiculturalism. Nationalism and
	Ethnic Politics, 14(1), 1-28.
	http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/13537110701872444
	 Aughey, A. (2012). Englishness as class: A re-examination. Ethnicities,
	12(4), 394-408.
	http://etn.sagepub.com/content/12/4/394.full.pdf+html
	 Mycock, A., & Hayton, R. (2014). The Party Politics of Englishness. The
	British Journal of Politics & International Relations, 16(2), 251-272.
	http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1467-856X.2012.00543.x/pdf

•	Henderson, A., Jeffery, C., Liñeira, R., Scully, R., Wincott, D., & Wyn
	Jones, R. (2016). England, Englishness and Brexit. The Political
	Quarterly, 87(2), 187-199. doi:10.1111/1467-923X.12262

Week 13 (23.01.2018)	Fourth Case: the US	
	 Graham E. Fuller (2006). America's Uncomfortable Relationship With Nationalism. The Stanley Foundation Policy Analysis Brief, 1-12 http://www.stanleyfoundation.org/publications/pab/pab06nationalism.pdf Huddy, L., & Khatib, N. (2007). American patriotism, national identity, and political involvement. American Journal of Political Science, 51(1), 63-77. http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2007.00237.x/pdf Smith, D. M. (2012). The American melting pot: A national myth in 	
	public and popular discourse. <i>National Identities, 14</i> (4), 387-402.	
Week 14 (30.01.2018)	Comparative: cross-country & cross-time	
	 Davidov, E. (2009). Measurement Equivalence of Nationalism and Constructive Patriotism in the ISSP: 34 Countries in a Comparative Perspective. <i>Political Analysis</i>, 17(1), 64-82. http://pan.oxfordjournals.org/content/17/1/64.full.pdf+html Davidov, E. (2011). Nationalism and Constructive Patriotism: A Longitudinal Test of Comparability in 22 Countries with the ISSP. <i>International Journal of Public Opinion Research</i>, 23(1), 88-103. http://ijpor.oxfordjournals.org/content/23/1/88.full.pdf+html Sarrasin, O., Green, E. G. T., Berchtold, A., & Davidov, E. (2013). Measurement Equivalence Across Subnational Groups: An Analysis of the Conception of Nationhood in Switzerland. <i>International Journal of Public Opinion Research</i>, 25(4), 522-534. 	